

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

# In vivo intratumor angiogenic treatment effects during taxane-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy of ovarian cancer

BMC Cancer 2010, 10:137 doi:10.1186/1471-2407-10-137

Martin Polcher (martin.poelcher@ukb.uni-bonn.de) Christian Rudlowski (christian.rudlowski@ukb.uni-bonn.de) Nicolaus Friedrichs (friedrin@gmx.de) Marieke Mielich (MariekeMielich@web.de) Tobias Holler (hoeller@imbie.uni-bonn.de) Mathias Wolfgarten (mathias.wolfgarten@ukb.uni-bonn.de) Kirsten Kubler (kirsten.kuebler@ukb.uni-bonn.de) Reinhard Buttner (reinhard.buettner@ukb.uni-bonn.de) Walther Kuhn (walther.kuhn@ukb.uni-bonn.de) Michael Braun (michael.braun@ukb.uni-bonn.de)

ISSN	1471-2407
Article type	Research article
Submission date	18 September 2009
Acceptance date	13 April 2010
Publication date	13 April 2010
Article URL	http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/10/137

Like all articles in BMC journals, this peer-reviewed article was published immediately upon acceptance. It can be downloaded, printed and distributed freely for any purposes (see copyright notice below).

Articles in BMC journals are listed in PubMed and archived at PubMed Central.

For information about publishing your research in BMC journals or any BioMed Central journal, go to

http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/authors/

© 2010 Polcher et al., licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In vivo intratumor angiogenic treatment effects during taxane-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy of ovarian cancer

#### Authors:

Martin Pölcher<sup>1</sup>, Christian Rudlowski<sup>1</sup>, Nicolaus Friedrichs<sup>2\*</sup>, Marieke Mielich<sup>1</sup>, Tobias Höller<sup>3</sup>, Mathias Wolfgarten<sup>1</sup>, Kirsten Kübler<sup>1</sup>, Reinhard Büttner<sup>2</sup>, Walther Kuhn<sup>1</sup>, Michael Braun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Center for Integrated Oncology, Bonn University Medical Center, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Pathology, Center for Integrated Oncology, Bonn University Medical Center, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Medical Biometry, Informatics, and Epidemiology, University of Bonn, Germany

\*Current address: Zentrale Klinische Forschung, University Freiburg, Germany

#### Corresponding author:

Martin Pölcher Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics Center for Integrated Oncology Bonn University Medical Center Sigmund-Freud-Str. 25 D-53105 Bonn Germany Phone +49-228-28715444 Fax +49-228-28715446 E-mail: martin.poelcher@ukb.uni-bonn.de

# Abstract

**Background:** The aim of our study was to analyze the effect of taxane-based chemotherapy on tumor angiogenesis in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer.

**Methods:** Within a prospective phase II trial, 32 patients with stage IIIC and IV ovarian cancer were treated with either two or three cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to cytoreductive surgery. Carboplatin (AUC5) and docetaxel (75 mg /m<sup>2</sup>) were administered intravenously in a 3-weekly schedule. Changes in intratumor microvessel density (MVD) were assessed with immunohistochemistry by staining pre- and posttreatment surgical tumor specimens with panendothelial, neovascular and lymphatic vessel markers.

**Results:** Mean values of MVD defined by CD31, CD34, CD105 and D2-40 antibodies showed 12.3, 21.0, 2.7 and 3.1 vessels per high power field (HPF) before chemotherapy and increased after treatment to 15.3, 21.8, 4.8 and 3.6 per HPF, respectively. These changes were significant for CD31 (p=0.04) and for CD105 (p=0.02).

**Conclusion:** Taxane-based chemotherapy appears to promote tumor vascularization when administered every 3 weeks. A possible explanation is the secondary recovery of MVD in response to immediate cytotoxic and antiangiogenic effects of the chemotherapy. If confirmed prospectively, these findings favor shorter treatment intervals of taxane-based chemotherapy to counteract proangiogenic recovery.

#### Background

Although about 80% of advanced ovarian cancer patients respond well to standard management - primary cytoreductive surgery followed by platinum/taxane-based chemotherapy - the majority of patients develop recurrent disease and die of progressive disease[1]. Therefore changes in therapeutic procedures are of clinical interest.

The application of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in advanced ovarian cancer is the subject of past and recent study efforts [2-4]. Results of a recently reported phase 3 study with 704 patients enrolled and a treatment schedule with 3 cycles carboplatin/paclitaxel preoperatively demonstrated that neoadjuvant chemotherapy produces similar PFS and OS rates compared to standard primary cytoreductive surgery and provides a significantly lower perioperative morbidity and mortality in the group treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy [5].

Preoperative chemotherapy provides an excellent opportunity to analyze cytotoxic therapy effects on the tumor microenvironment; an area of research which received little consideration in the literature so far. Malignant tumors are regarded as complex tissues in which genetically altered malignant cells interact with several normal cell types that collaborate and support malignant growth [6] Based on the impact of neovascularization which contributes to the growth of a tumor mass and the formation of metastases, great efforts have been undertaken to develop therapeutic tools to target this process [7].

Intratumor microvessel density (MVD) has been used to examine the role of vascularization within the malignant process. High MVD is associated with parameters of tumor aggressiveness such as greater incidence of metastases and decreased survival [8]. It was found to have independent prognostic significance when compared with traditional prognostic markers by multivariate analysis in many types of cancer. While the prognostic impact of high MVD In ovarian cancer patients was demonstrated in numerous retrospective studies [9-14], other studies failed to prove a significant association [15-17].

CD31, a transmembrane glycoprotein found at the intercellular junctions of endothelial cells and CD34, a surface glycoprotein of unknown function serve as

panendothelial markers, whereas CD105 (endoglin) is expressed almost exclusively on proliferating endothelial cells that are induced by tumoral factors for neoangiogenesis [18-20]. D2-40, a monoclonal antibody, specifically recognises podoplanin and is the most sensitive and specific antibody for the detection of lymphatic endothelium [21].

Anticancer chemotherapeutic agents are known to directly inhibit tumor cell proliferation. In addition, chemotherapeutic drugs were reported to have antiangiogenic activity [22].

The aim of our study was to assess the chemotherapeutic effect on angiogenesis by comparing tumor vasculature before and after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ovarian cancer patients.

# Methods

Between February 2003 through March 2008, patients with advanced stage IIIC and IV ovarian cancer and large volume ascites (>500 mL) were treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy as part of a multicenter prospective randomized phase 2 trial [23]. This trial was planned to evaluate response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and to analyze surgical outcome. Treatment consisted of either two or three of six cycles of intravenous carboplatin (area under the curve 5) and 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> of docetaxel at 21-day intervals before cytoreductive surgery in order to find a suitable regimen for a planned phase 3 trial. All patients were regularly followed up at 3-month intervals for the first two years and at 6-month intervals thereafter.

To confirm the diagnosis laparoscopic biopsy was performed before chemotherapy and tumor samples were taken from the ovary and/ or the peritoneum of the abdominal wall. Cytoreductive surgery was performed within four weeks of the last scheduled chemotherapy cycle and tumor tissue was excised at the beginning of cytoreductive surgical procedures. If no macroscopic residual tumor was detectable at the ovary and/or the peritoneum of the abdominal wall, any macroscopic tumor tissue was obtained irrespective of the anatomic site.

This is a single institution analysis. Of 93 patients enrolled in the multicenter study, 43 patients were treated at the University of Bonn Medical Center and form the basis of our analysis. Tissue sampling and documentation was performed in a standardized fashion. Of the 43 patients, in 32 cases, paired tissue samples from laparoscopy and laparotomy were assessable for the evaluation of MVD before and after treatment.

None of the patients received erythropoetin stimulating agents before cytoreductive surgery. The protocol was approved by the institutional review board. All patients gave written informed consent.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Original hematoxylin and eosin stained slides were reviewed by a board certified pathologist (N.F.). Corresponding tumor blocks were obtained. Formalin-fixed tissue specimens were embedded in paraffin and 2 µm sections were dried overnight at room temperature, deparaffinized and rehydrated by decreasing concentrations of ethanol followed by incubation in Tris buffer. For antigen retrieval, the sections were microwaved twice for 15 min at 600 W in 10 mM citrate buffer, pH 6. The following steps were performed semiautomatically using a streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase technique and a DAKO TechMate<sup>™</sup> 500 following the instructions of the provider (Dako, Hamburg, Germany). Positive control experiments were performed using tissue slides with microvessels and negative controls by substituting the primary antibody by non-specific immunoglobulin. All specimens were stained within the same pass.

The following primary antibodies were used for immunohistochemical detection: antihuman CD 31 (Clone JG 70 A, 1:100; DAKO), anti-human CD34 (Clone QBEnd-10, 1:100; DAKO), anti CD 105 (Clone SN6h, 1:50; DAKO) and anti-human D2-40 (Clone D2-40, 1:50; Signet, Dedham, MA, USA).

MVD was measured as reported by Weidner et al. [24]. Using a light microscope, an experienced blinded investigator screened with a 40x magnification for a single area of invasive tumor representative of the highest microvessel density (neovascular "hotspot"). Hotspots were defined as the area of greatest vasculature within the tumor epithelium and immediate adjacent stroma. Areas of stroma without tumor cells were not considered. Vessels with muscular walls were excluded. The number of positively stained vessels was counted in 3 high power fields (200x or 0.74 mm<sup>2</sup>) in each tissue sample and the average value was used as a basis for calculating.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the software SAS 9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA) and included non-parametric group comparison tests (Mann-Whitney and Wilcoxon-test) nonparametric (Spearman) correlation tests, and parametric (Cox

proportional) and nonparametric (Kaplan-Meier) survival analysis with p<0.05 considered significant.

## **Results**

The clinical and histological characteristics of the patients included in this study are summarized in Table 1. Ascites volume, target lesions and CA 125 serum levels were compared to pretreatment findings before cytoreductive surgery. All patients responded to the initial preoperative treatment.

For each marker, pre- and posttreatment specimens of 32 patients were analyzed. In three cases the pretreatment specimens showed severe artefacts, which prevented their use for the designated analyses due to poor quality. Pre- and posttreatment values were excluded pairwise in these cases.

The highest vessel counts were found by immunostaining with anti-CD34 antibody. There was a strong correlation between both panendothelial markers CD34 and CD31 (Spearman-Rho correlation coefficient 0.67, significance <0.01). By comparison, the selective staining of CD105 (neovascularisation) and D2-40 (lymphatic vessels) generated clearly lower values of MVD (Figure 1).

Changes from pre- to posttreatment MVD are shown in Figure 2. CD31 and CD105 values showed a significant increase after treatment (p=0.04 and p=0.016). There was no significant difference in the mean changes of all MVD markers between two and three cycles of treatment (data not shown). Changes of MVD markers (CD31, CD34 and CD105) were not associated with grade or residual tumor after surgery and further, changes of the lymphatic vessel marker D2-40 were not associated with nodal involvement, grade or residual tumor after surgery.

Mean follow up was 24 months (7 to 65 months), median progression-free survival (PFS) was 13.3 months (95% Confidence Interval (CI) 10.9 to 15.7) and median overall survival (OS) was 36.0 (95% CI 15.9 to 56.0) months. After dichotomization at the median into groups expressing high or low levels of CD31, CD34, CD 105 and D2-40 no significant differences were predictable in the univariate analyses for PFS and OS in both, pretreatment and posttreatment values. The same applies to comparing patients with increasing or decreasing levels of MVD after therapy.

Representative images of the microvessel immunostainings are shown in Figure 3.

## Discussion

While chemotherapy has been reported to target endothelial cells in blood capillaries, this study demonstrates that taxane-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ovarian cancer does not exert antiangiogenic treatment effects in residual tumor foci.

Although endothelial cells are assumed to be genetically stable and have a low mutation rate, antineoplastic cytotoxic agents are regarded as inhibitors of angiogenesis [25]. Taxanes are microtubule-stabilizing drugs and inhibit endothelial cell proliferation and tubule formation in vitro [26, 27]. Docetaxel, which is the study medication of this trial, appears to be more potent at inhibiting angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo than paclitaxel [28], which is the standard medication for treatment of advanced ovarian cancer patients. Furthermore, antiangiogenic activity is not only thought to be mediated by direct effects on endothelial cells but it is also thought to be mediated through effects on cancer cells. Killing cancer cells and eliminating critical cell survival or pro-angiogenic factors (e.g. vascular endothelial growth factor), also affects the endothelial cell compartment and causes antiangiogenic activity [29]. Therefore, comparing tumor samples taken before and after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, one would expect to find a reduction of microvessel density (MVD) in the posttreatment specimens by an inhibition of tumor angiogenesis.

However, in the current analysis all vessel markers showed increasing mean levels after treatment, indicating a lack of a relevant antiangiogenic treatment effect.

CD105 has been considered as a specific and sensitive marker to detect newly sprouting vessels [19]. In two-thirds of the tumor specimens posttreatment samples showed an increased number of CD105 positive vessels as a sign of newly generated vessels. Lymph vessels however, visualized by D2-40 did not show changes in the tumor environment. An inhibitory effect of chemotherapy or, inversely, an increase of the lymph vessel density due to a proangiogenic effect was not found in this study.

Tumor and host-mediated pathways might inverse antiangiogenic treatment effects. There is a growing body of evidence suggesting that circulating bone marrow derived endothelial progenitor cells (CEP) are able to support the vascularization of tumors and may therefore play a synergistic role with angiogenesis [30]. Tumor vasculature does not necessarily derive from endothelial cell sprouting, but CEP home to sites of neovascularization and differentiate into endothelial cells [31]. Recent data demonstrates that some chemotherapeutic drugs can cause simultaneously hostmediated counterregulatory responses from the bone-marrow resulting in tumor angiogenesis and vasculogenesis [32]. This mobilization effect in CEP levels may facilitate tumor cell repopulation during the common time intervals between the individual chemotherapy cycles [33].

Chemotherapy is optimally given at a maximum tolerated dose, with off-therapy intervals of 3 weeks to rescue bone marrow and intestine. Antiangiogenic therapy requires that endothelial cells be exposed to steady blood levels of the inhibitor [34]. Most recent investigations on the antiangiogenic efficacy of different application schedules suggested the use of a tightly spaced, continuous application of appropriate anticancer chemotherapeutic agents [35, 36]. These application schedules are able to exert a strong antiangiogenic effect as indicated by an increase of apoptosis of tumor endothelial cells [37]. Furthermore, the mobilization of CEP is decreased in these schedules [33, 38]. It has been hypothesized that a more frequent administration of paclitaxel exhibits proapoptotic and antiangiogenic properties and therefore increases its antineoplastic effect [39, 40].

A phase 3 trial (JGOG3016) with 637 advanced ovarian cancer patients enrolled compared a dosedense weekly paclitaxel regimen (Carboplatin AUC 6 q21d and Paclitaxel 80mg/m<sup>2</sup> d1, 8, 15, x 6-9) with standard treatment (Carboplatin AUC 6 and Paclitaxel 180mg/m<sup>2</sup> q21 x 6-9) after cytoreductive surgery [41]. This study demonstrated a significant improvement of PFS in the arm with weekly taxane (28 vs.17 months; p=0.02) and a significant improvement in the overall survival rate after 3-years (72 vs. 65 %; p=0.03). Increased doses of paclitaxel of 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, 225 mg/m<sup>2</sup> or 250 mg/m<sup>2</sup> given every 3 weeks have not shown a benefit in survival rates compared to standard dosage (175 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) [42-44]. The authors concluded that higher survival rates without improved response rates in this study might be attributed to an additional antiangiogenic effect of the weekly application of paclitaxel.

We are aware of several limitations in our experimental approach. Laparoscopic biopsies are mostly smaller than tumor specimens after cytoreductive surgery and may have fewer vascular "hotspots". Therefore initial MVD may be underestimated. We tried to avoid paired sampling from different sites at the pre- and posttreatment surgical procedures, but this was not always feasible due to tumor response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. However, the immunohistochemistry analysis is based on exclusively pathologically confirmed vital tumor tissue. Therefore, significant differences in MVD derived from different sites are possible but unlikely.

8

Inflammatory cells, namely monocytes, macrophages, T lymphocytes and neutrophils, fully participate in the angiogenic process by secreting cytokines that may affect endothelial cell functions, including proliferation, migration and activation. Therefore, MVD might also be affected by an immune response to tumor cell damage. The timing of surgery after the preoperative regimen may be crucial, at least with respect to the expression of these MVD markers. All patients included in this study underwent cytoreductive surgery at least after 21 days and within 35 days after the completion of preoperative chemotherapy. The long drug-free periods might provide time for endothelial cells to repopulate the damaged tissues, thereby reducing an antiangiogenic effects of these drugs [45].

Neither pretreatment MVD nor MVD changes after chemotherapy examined here were associated with survival. However, the limited sample size and the inclusion of patients with unfavorable prognostic markers (ascites, stage IIIC and IV) decrease the ability to demonstrate any effect on survival.

Specific antiangiogenic therapy targeting the vascular epithelial growth factor (VEGF) - pathway has found its way into clinical trials and first results show promise for this approach in ovarian cancer treatment [46, 47]. Many unanswered questions remain to be clarified. It is not clear whether combination or concurrent antiangiogenic therapy is more sufficient or whether maintenance treatment should be pursued. Recently, converse treatment effects were reported as antiangiogenic therapy elicited malignant progression in animal trials [48, 49].

Our data indicates that neoadjuvant chemotherapy provides an excellent opportunity to in vivo assess changes in the tumor environment by comparing pre- and posttreatment samples. This may be helpful to identify treatment effects of cytotoxic drugs.

# Conclusion

These findings suggest that in addition to the cytotoxic effect, the taxane-based chemotherapy cannot exert its antiangiogenic effect within a 3 weekly schedule. A possible explanation is the secondary recovery of MVD in response to immediate cytotoxic and antiangiogenic effects of taxane-based chemotherapy. If confirmed prospectively, these findings favor shorter treatment intervals of taxane-based chemotherapy to counteract proangiogenic recovery.

#### **Competing interests**

Walther Kuhn (Principal Investigator PRIMOVAR trial): Research Funding (Sanofi-Aventis). All other authors indicated no potential conflict of interest.

#### Author's contribution

**MP** designed research, acquired, analyzed data and drafted the manuscript. **CR** provided clinical and scientific support, performed surgical interventions, reviewed the manuscript. **NF** was responsible for the histopathological revision of the patient's samples and for analyzing immunohistochemical staining. **MM** acquisition and analysis of clinical and histopathological data, collected samples, coordinated staining. **TH** performed the statistical analysis. **MW** provided clinical and scientific support, performed surgical interventions, collected follow-up data. **KK** provided clinical and scientific support, applied chemotherapies within the PRIMOVAR trial. **RB**, Head of the Institute for Pathology, reviewed the manuscript. **WK**, Head of the Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Principle investigator of the PRIMOVAR trial, made a major financial, clinical and critical contribution to this work. **MB** co-designed and supervised the study, assisted in the writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Presented in part at the ESGO European Meeting, Belgrade 2009

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Christiane Esch for technical assistance with immunohistochemistry. This is a single institution analysis of clinical data collected within a multicenter phase 2 trial, PRIMOVAR, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00551577, sponsored by Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH

# References

- 1. Heintz AP, Odicino F, Maisonneuve P, Quinn MA, Benedet JL, Creasman WT, Ngan HY, Pecorelli S, Beller U: Carcinoma of the ovary. FIGO 6th Annual Report on the Results of Treatment in Gynecological Cancer. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2006, 95 Suppl 1:S161-192.
- Kuhn W, Rutke S, Spathe K, Schmalfeldt B, Florack G, von Hundelshausen B, Pachyn D, Ulm K, Graeff H: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by tumor debulking prolongs survival for patients with poor prognosis in International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics Stage IIIC ovarian carcinoma. *Cancer* 2001, 92(10):2585-2591.
- 3. Park TW, Kuhn WC: **Neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ovarian cancer**. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2004, **4**(4):639-647.
- 4. Polcher M, Mahner S, Ortmann O, Hilfrich J, Diedrich K, Breitbach GP, Hoss C, Leutner C, Braun M, Mobus V *et al*: **Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with carboplatin and docetaxel in advanced ovarian cancer - a prospective multicenter phase II trial (PRIMOVAR)**. *Oncol Rep* 2009, **22**(3):605-613.
- 5. Vergote IB, Tropé CG, Amant F, Kristensen GB, Sardi JE: EORTC-GCG/NCIC-CTG Randomised Trial Comparing Primary Debulking Surgery With Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Stage IIIC-IV Ovarian, Fallopian Tube and Peritoneal Cancer (OVCA). In: 12th Biennial Meeting, International Gynecological Cancer Society (IGCS): 2008; Bangkok; 2008.
- 6. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA: The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell* 2000, **100**(1):57-70.
- 7. Folkman J: **Tumor angiogenesis: therapeutic implications**. *N Engl J Med* 1971, **285**(21):1182-1186.
- 8. Weidner N: Intratumor microvessel density as a prognostic factor in cancer. *Am J Pathol* 1995, **147**(1):9-19.
- 9. Alvarez AA, Krigman HR, Whitaker RS, Dodge RK, Rodriguez GC: **The prognostic** significance of angiogenesis in epithelial ovarian carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 1999, **5**(3):587-591.
- 10. Gasparini G, Bonoldi E, Viale G, Verderio P, Boracchi P, Panizzoni GA, Radaelli U, Di Bacco A, Guglielmi RB, Bevilacqua P: **Prognostic and predictive value of tumour angiogenesis in ovarian carcinomas**. *Int J Cancer* 1996, **69**(3):205-211.
- 11. Labiche A, Elie N, Herlin P, Denoux Y, Crouet H, Heutte N, Joly F, Heron JF, Gauduchon P, Henry-Amar M: **Prognostic significance of tumour vascularisation on survival of patients with advanced ovarian carcinoma**. *Histol Histopathol* 2009, **24**(4):425-435.
- 12. Raspollini MR, Amunni G, Villanucci A, Baroni G, Boddi V, Taddei GL: **Prognostic** significance of microvessel density and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in advanced ovarian serous carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2004, 14(5):815-823.
- 13. Rossochacka-Rostalska B, Gisterek IJ, Suder E, Szelachowska JK, Matkowski RA, Lacko A, Kornafel JA: [Prognostic significance of microvessel density in ovarian cancer]. *Wiad Lek* 2007, 60(3-4):129-137.
- 14. Rubatt JM, Darcy KM, Hutson A, Bean SM, Havrilesky LJ, Grace LA, Berchuck A, Secord AA: Independent prognostic relevance of microvessel density in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer and associations between CD31, CD105, p53 status, and angiogenic marker expression: A Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecol Oncol* 2009, 112(3):469-474.
- 15. Gadducci A, Ferrero A, Cosio S, Zola P, Viacava P, Dompe D, Fanelli G, Ravarino N, Motta M, Cristofani R *et al*: Intratumoral microvessel density in advanced

epithelial ovarian cancer and its use as a prognostic variable. *Anticancer Res* 2006, **26**(5B):3925-3932.

- 16. Obermair A, Wasicky R, Kaider A, Preyer O, Losch A, Leodolter S, Kolbl H: **Prognostic significance of tumor angiogenesis in epithelial ovarian cancer**. *Cancer Lett* 1999, **138**(1-2):175-182.
- 17. Ogawa S, Kaku T, Kobayashi H, Hirakawa T, Ohishi Y, Kinukawa N, Nakano H: **Prognostic significance of microvessel density, vascular cuffing and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in ovarian carcinoma: a special review for clear cell adenocarcinoma**. *Cancer Lett* 2002, **176**(1):111-118.
- 18. Newman PJ: **The role of PECAM-1 in vascular cell biology**. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1994, **714**:165-174.
- 19. Fonsatti E, Altomonte M, Nicotra MR, Natali PG, Maio M: Endoglin (CD105): a powerful therapeutic target on tumor-associated angiogenetic blood vessels. Oncogene 2003, 22(42):6557-6563.
- 20. Krause DS, Fackler MJ, Civin CI, May WS: **CD34: structure, biology, and clinical utility**. *Blood* 1996, **87**(1):1-13.
- 21. Kahn HJ, Marks A: A new monoclonal antibody, D2-40, for detection of lymphatic invasion in primary tumors. *Lab Invest* 2002, 82(9):1255-1257.
- 22. Miller KD, Sweeney CJ, Sledge GW, Jr.: **Redefining the target: chemotherapeutics** as antiangiogenics. *J Clin Oncol* 2001, **19**(4):1195-1206.
- 23. Pölcher M, Mahner S, Ortmann O, Hilfrich J, W K: Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy With Carboplatin and Docetaxel in Advanced Ovarian Cancer – a Prospective Multicenter Phase 2 Trial (PRIMOVAR). Oncol Rep 2009, 22:605-613.
- 24. Weidner N: Current pathologic methods for measuring intratumoral microvessel density within breast carcinoma and other solid tumors. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 1995, **36**(2):169-180.
- 25. Klauber N, Parangi S, Flynn E, Hamel E, D'Amato RJ: Inhibition of angiogenesis and breast cancer in mice by the microtubule inhibitors 2-methoxyestradiol and taxol. *Cancer Res* 1997, **57**(1):81-86.
- 26. Hotchkiss KA, Ashton AW, Mahmood R, Russell RG, Sparano JA, Schwartz EL: Inhibition of endothelial cell function in vitro and angiogenesis in vivo by docetaxel (Taxotere): association with impaired repositioning of the microtubule organizing center. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2002, **1**(13):1191-1200.
- 27. Sweeney CJ, Miller KD, Sissons SE, Nozaki S, Heilman DK, Shen J, Sledge GW, Jr.: The antiangiogenic property of docetaxel is synergistic with a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor or 2-methoxyestradiol but antagonized by endothelial growth factors. *Cancer Res* 2001, **61**(8):3369-3372.
- 28. Grant DS, Williams TL, Zahaczewsky M, Dicker AP: **Comparison of antiangiogenic** activities using paclitaxel (taxol) and docetaxel (taxotere). *Int J Cancer* 2003, 104(1):121-129.
- 29. Rudlowski C, Pickart AK, Fuhljahn C, Friepoertner T, Schlehe B, Biesterfeld S, Schroeder W: Prognostic significance of vascular endothelial growth factor expression in ovarian cancer patients: a long-term follow-up. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2006, **16 Suppl 1**:183-189.
- 30. Dome B, Timar J, Ladanyi A, Paku S, Renyi-Vamos F, Klepetko W, Lang G, Dome P, Bogos K, Tovari J: Circulating endothelial cells, bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells and proangiogenic hematopoietic cells in cancer: From biology to therapy. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2009, **69**(2):108-124.
- 31. Asahara T, Kawamoto A: Endothelial progenitor cells for postnatal vasculogenesis. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2004, **287**(3):C572-579.
- 32. Shaked Y, Henke E, Roodhart JM, Mancuso P, Langenberg MH, Colleoni M, Daenen LG, Man S, Xu P, Emmenegger U *et al*: **Rapid chemotherapy-induced acute** endothelial progenitor cell mobilization: implications for antiangiogenic drugs as chemosensitizing agents. *Cancer Cell* 2008, **14**(3):263-273.

- 33. Bertolini F, Paul S, Mancuso P, Monestiroli S, Gobbi A, Shaked Y, Kerbel RS: Maximum tolerable dose and low-dose metronomic chemotherapy have opposite effects on the mobilization and viability of circulating endothelial progenitor cells. *Cancer Res* 2003, **63**(15):4342-4346.
- 34. Kerbel RS, Kamen BA: **The anti-angiogenic basis of metronomic chemotherapy**. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004, **4**(6):423-436.
- 35. Garcia AA, Hirte H, Fleming G, Yang D, Tsao-Wei DD, Roman L, Groshen S, Swenson S, Markland F, Gandara D *et al*: **Phase II clinical trial of bevacizumab and low-dose metronomic oral cyclophosphamide in recurrent ovarian cancer: a trial of the California, Chicago, and Princess Margaret Hospital phase II consortia**. *J Clin Oncol* 2008, **26**(1):76-82.
- 36. Kamat AA, Kim TJ, Landen CN, Jr., Lu C, Han LY, Lin YG, Merritt WM, Thaker PH, Gershenson DM, Bischoff FZ *et al*: Metronomic chemotherapy enhances the efficacy of antivascular therapy in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res* 2007, **67**(1):281-288.
- 37. Schirner M: Antiangiogenic chemotherapeutic agents. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2000, **19**(1-2):67-73.
- 38. Bertolini F, Shaked Y, Mancuso P, Kerbel RS: **The multifaceted circulating** endothelial cell in cancer: towards marker and target identification. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006, **6**(11):835-845.
- 39. Giannakakou P, Robey R, Fojo T, Blagosklonny MV: Low concentrations of paclitaxel induce cell type-dependent p53, p21 and G1/G2 arrest instead of mitotic arrest: molecular determinants of paclitaxel-induced cytotoxicity. Oncogene 2001, 20(29):3806-3813.
- 40. Pasquier E, Honore S, Pourroy B, Jordan MA, Lehmann M, Briand C, Braguer D: Antiangiogenic concentrations of paclitaxel induce an increase in microtubule dynamics in endothelial cells but not in cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2005, 65(6):2433-2440.
- 41. Katsumata N, Yasuda M, Takahashi F, Isonishi S, Jobo T, Aoki D, Tsuda H, Sugiyama T, Kodama S, Kimura E *et al*: **Dose-dense paclitaxel once a week in combination with carboplatin every 3 weeks for advanced ovarian cancer: a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial**. *Lancet* 2009, **374**(9698):1331-1338.
- 42. Bolis G, Scarfone G, Polverino G, Raspagliesi F, Tateo S, Richiardi G, Melpignano M, Franchi M, Mangili G, Presti M *et al*: **Paclitaxel 175 or 225 mg per meters squared with carboplatin in advanced ovarian cancer: a randomized trial**. *J Clin Oncol* 2004, **22**(4):686-690.
- 43. Muggia FM, Braly PS, Brady MF, Sutton G, Niemann TH, Lentz SL, Alvarez RD, Kucera PR, Small JM: Phase III randomized study of cisplatin versus paclitaxel versus cisplatin and paclitaxel in patients with suboptimal stage III or IV ovarian cancer: a gynecologic oncology group study. *J Clin Oncol* 2000, **18**(1):106-115.
- 44. Omura GA, Brady MF, Look KY, Averette HE, Delmore JE, Long HJ, Wadler S, Spiegel G, Arbuck SG: Phase III trial of paclitaxel at two dose levels, the higher dose accompanied by filgrastim at two dose levels in platinum-pretreated epithelial ovarian cancer: an intergroup study. *J Clin Oncol* 2003, **21**(15):2843-2848.
- 45. Kim JJ, Tannock IF: **Repopulation of cancer cells during therapy: an important cause of treatment failure**. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005, **5**(7):516-525.
- 46. Burger RA, Sill MW, Monk BJ, Greer BE, Sorosky JI: Phase II trial of bevacizumab in persistent or recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer or primary peritoneal cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2007, **25**(33):5165-5171.
- 47. Cannistra SA, Matulonis ÜA, Penson RT, Hambleton J, Dupont J, Mackey H, Douglas J, Burger RA, Armstrong D, Wenham R *et al*: **Phase II study of bevacizumab in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer or peritoneal serous cancer**. *J Clin Oncol* 2007, **25**(33):5180-5186.

- 48. Ebos JM, Lee CR, Cruz-Munoz W, Bjarnason GA, Christensen JG, Kerbel RS: Accelerated metastasis after short-term treatment with a potent inhibitor of tumor angiogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 2009, **15**(3):232-239.
- 49. Paez-Ribes M, Allen E, Hudock J, Takeda T, Okuyama H, Vinals F, Inoue M, Bergers G, Hanahan D, Casanovas O: Antiangiogenic therapy elicits malignant progression of tumors to increased local invasion and distant metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 2009, **15**(3):220-231.

# **Tables and Figures**

# Table 1. Patient characteristics

	Ν
Number of patients	32
Age – (y)	
Median	60
Range	34-78
Histological type	
serous/serous-papillary	31
Endometrioid	1
Histological grade	
G 2	12
G 3	20
Stage	
Ш́С	30
IV	2
CA 125 (U/mL)	
Median	1376
Range	(86-9030)
CA 125 (U/mL) after	er / / /
preoperative treatment	
Median	71
Range	(17-2794)
Number of chemotherap	у
cylcles before cytoreductive	e
surgery	
Two	19
Three	13
Residual disease	е
after cytoreductive surgery	
no gross residual disease	9
≤ 1cm	19
>1cm	4
Lymph node status	
N0*	13
N1	7
NX	12
Recurrence status (%)	
recurrent disease	21
	<b>Z</b> I

\*at least 25 lymph nodes

# **Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** Mean values of microvessel density per high powerfield in 32 tumor samples before and after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ovarian cancer patients.

**Figure 2**. Changes of microvessel density per high powerfield in 32 tumor samples after chemotherapy treatment. Waterfall plots for (A) panendothelial (CD31) and (B) selective endothelial markers (CD105); (C) joint illustration of all markers.

**Figure 3.** Representative images of the immunostaining showing antigen expression in pre- and posttreatment samples of patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy.







В

С







Figure 2

